

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,797	06/21/2001	Motoyuki Tagawa	14654	6166
75	90 07/08/2005		EXAM	INER
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER			REAGAN, JAMES A	
400 Garden City Garden City, N			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3621	

DATE MAILED: 07/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	~~				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/886,797	TAGAWA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	James A. Reagan	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED <u>24 June 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		-			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	f Appeal. To avoid abando ffidavit, or other evidence, compliance with 37 CFR	, which 41.31; or		
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee final Office action; or (2) as se	under 37 et forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	, ,			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	nsideration and/or search (see NC pw); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying the			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PT	OL-324).		
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 	· ——	, timely filed amendment o	canceling		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		vill be entered and an expl	anation of		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper ry and was not earlier presented.	al and/or appellant fails to See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	provide a		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	j ston of anaonou.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 	ut does NOT place the application	n condition for allowance	because:		
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
13. Other:	4	IAM.			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments regarding the combination of the prior art of record fail to pursuade the Examiner because the prior art of record is clearly and unarguably analogous as well as relevant. In adition, applicant's arguments regarding the teachings of the prior art of record fail because when combined together, the prior art of record wholly and flawlessly discloses the claimed invention. Applicant should carefully consider revising the claim language to overcome the pending rejections which would place the application in a better condition for allowance..